Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,558	HULSE, GEORGE R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jacob Y. Choi	2875
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non-Final Rejection</u>		
(1) Jacob Y. Choi.	(3)	
(2) <u>David W. Nagle, Jr.</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 November 2005	Time: <u>5:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: claims rejections under 35 USC § 102 & 35 USC § 103		
Claims discussed: 1, 16, & 19		
Prior art documents discussed: Grenda et al. (USPN 6,948,840) & Steen et al. (US 2005/01)	69002)	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a wr ate record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
W/W		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After examiner has further searched and examined amendments, filed on 9/19/2005, discussed newly found prior art documents, listed above, over independent claim 1 and their dependent claims. Also discussed allowable claims, independent claims 16 & 19. Accordingly, applicant proposed the following clam amendments, filed 11/22/2005, to expedite allowance of the application.